title

#### Maker-Breaker domination number

Jiayue Qi

#### Joint work with Jovana Forcan (University of Novi Sad)

## 2021.06.22. DK seminar







Der Wissenschaftsfonds.

# What game are we playing?

- Given a graph G = (V, E), two players take turn to claim a vertex from V that is unclaimed yet.
- One is called Dominator (the maker), the other is called Staller (the breaker).
- Dominator wins if all the vertices he has claimed form a domination set of *G*.
- Staller wins if he prevents Dominator from winning, that is to claim some vertex and all its neighbors, so that Dominator cannot dominate that vertex with any of his claimed vertices.
- This game is called the *Maker-Breaker domination game*, abbreviated as *MBD game*.

# Maker-Breaker domination number

- The minimum number of moves for Dominator to win the game on a given graph is an invariant for the graph.
- This number is denoted by γ<sub>MB</sub>(G) when Dominator is the first one to play, and by γ'<sub>MB</sub>(G) when Dominator is the second to play.
- Note that this number is usually denoted as  $\infty$  if Dominator does not have any winning strategies for the game.
- This number is finite when he has a winning strategy.
- Domination number of a graph G is the cardinality of its minimum-sized domination set(s), denoted by γ(G).

• Such sets are called  $\gamma$ -sets.

# Today's content?

- First, we investigate the a base case for graph structures when its Maker-Breaker domination number equals to its domination number.
- Second, we figure out the domination number for  $P_2 \Box P_n$ .

# Which graphs have this property?

It is obvious that  $\gamma_{MB}(G) = 1$  if and only if  $\gamma(G) = 1$ .

#### Theorem

Let G be a graph with  $\gamma(G) = 2$ . Then  $\gamma_{MB}(G) = \gamma(G)$  if and only if G is a spring graph with 2 groups.

MBD game	$\gamma_{MB}(G) = \gamma(G) = 2$	$P_2 \Box P_n$	thanks

- Let  $Q_1 := \{a\}, Q_i := \{b_i, c_i\}$  for  $2 \le i \le k$ . Note that  $a, b_2, c_2, \ldots, b_k, c_k$  are pairwise distinct vertices. Denote by  $Q := \bigcup_{i=1}^k Q_i$ .
- Let  $A_i$  be a set of vertices such that  $A_i \cap Q_j = \emptyset$  for all  $1 \le i, j \le k$ . Denote by  $A := \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$ .
- We see that  $A \cap Q = \emptyset$ .

spring graph?

Let G be a graph such that V(G) = Q∪A, and E(G) be such that any vertex in A<sub>i</sub> is (inclusive) adjacent to all vertices in Q<sub>i</sub>, and that either {b<sub>i</sub>, c<sub>i</sub>} ∈ E(G) or b<sub>i</sub> is adjacent to all vertices in Q<sub>j</sub>, c<sub>i</sub> is adjacent to all vertices in Q<sub>k</sub> for some j, k ≠ i.

title MBD game $\gamma_{MB}(G) = \gamma(G) = 2$ $P_2 \sqcup P_n$ thank		MBD game	$\gamma_{MB}(G) = \gamma(G) = 2$	$P_2 \Box P_n$	thanks
--	--	----------	----------------------------------	----------------	--------

# spring graph

- If a graph can be obtained from the described process, we call it a *spring graph with k groups*.
- We say that graph  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  is an *expansion* of graph  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  if  $V_1 = V_2$  and  $E_1 \subset E_2$ .
- We see that any expansion of a spring graph with k groups is still a spring graph with k groups.



Figure: This is an illustration of a minimal spring graph.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## spring graph and its domination sets

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a graph with  $\gamma(\mathcal{G}) = k \ge 2$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent:

- G has at least 2<sup>k-1</sup> γ-sets and each of them has the form {a, Q
  <sub>2</sub>, · · · , Q
  <sub>k</sub>}, where Q
  <sub>i</sub> represents one element in set Q
  <sub>i</sub>, where Q
  <sub>i</sub> := {b<sub>i</sub>, c<sub>i</sub>}.
- **2**  $\mathcal{G}$  is a spring graph with k groups.

	MBD game	$\gamma_{MB}(G) = \gamma(G) = 2$	$P_2 \Box P_n$	thanks
proof <sup>.</sup>	$2 \Rightarrow 1$			

- Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a spring graph with k groups, and with  $\gamma(\mathcal{G}) = k$ .
- It is not hard to see that there are 2<sup>k-1</sup> many such sets, since each Q<sub>i</sub> has two choices.
- We only need to show that such set is indeed a  $\gamma$ -set of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

• Let 
$$S := \{a, b_2, \cdots, b_k\}.$$

- From the structure of  $\mathcal{G}$ , we know that  $c_i$  is either adjacent to  $b_i$ , or to all the vertices in some  $Q_j$   $(j \neq i)$ , which says that  $c_i$  is adjacent to  $b_j$  or a (when j = 1).
- Therefore, any vertex of  $\mathcal{G}$  that are not in S has a neighbor in S. This implies that S is a dominating set of  $\mathcal{G}$ .
- Since  $|S| = k = \gamma(G)$ , we know that S is a  $\gamma$ -set of G.
- The other cases when  $\overline{Q_i} = c_i$  for some  $2 \le i \le k$  can be argued analogously.

title	MBD game	$\gamma_{MB}(G)=\gamma(G)=2$	$P_2 \Box P_n$	thanks
proof	$1 \rightarrow 2$			

- $\bullet$  Let  ${\mathcal G}$  be a graph fulfilling the condition described in item 2.
- Let v ∈ V(G) \ Q. Suppose there exists q<sub>i</sub> ∈ Q<sub>i</sub> such that v is not adjacent to q<sub>i</sub> for 1 ≤ i ≤ k.
- Consider the dominating set  $\{q_1 = a, \ldots, q_k\}$ .
- Vertex v is not dominated by any vertex in this set, which leads to a contradiction.
- Hence, there exists  $Q_i$  such that v is adjacent to all vertices in  $Q_i$ . Then we put vertex  $v \in V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus Q$  into group  $A_i$ .
- As for the vertices in *Q*, suppose that *b<sub>i</sub>* and *c<sub>i</sub>* are not adjacent.
- By a similar argument as above,  $b_i$  and  $c_i$  must be adjacent to all vertices in  $Q_j$ ,  $Q_k$  respectively, for some  $j, k \neq i$ .
- So far we have proved that G is an expansion of some minimal spring graph with k groups, hence is also a spring graph with k groups.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 $\gamma'_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_n)$ 

#### Theorem

 $\gamma'_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_n) = n$  for  $n \ge 1$ , and Dominator cannot skip any moves, otherwise he cannot win.

- For  $\gamma'_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_n) \leq n$ , we propose the "pairing strategy".
- The pairing vertices are  $\{v_i, u_i\}$ , there are *n* pairs.
- Whenver Staller chooses one vertex, let Dominator choose its pairing vertex.
- In this way, he can win within *n* rounds.
- For the other direction, we need a proposition first.

#### Proposition

 $\gamma_{MB}(\rho_m) = m$  for  $m \ge 0$ ; when  $m \ge 2$ , Dominator will not skip any move, otherwise he would lose the game.

By  $\rho_m$  ( $m \ge 0$ ) denote the status of the graph  $P_2 \Box P_m$  during the MBD game. This is when  $v_2$  is already claimed by Staller and  $u_1$  is already dominated by Dominator.



Figure: This is an illustration of the graph  $\rho_m$ .

 $\gamma'_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_n)$ 

#### Theorem

 $\gamma'_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_n) = n$  for  $n \ge 1$ , and Dominator cannot skip any moves, otherwise he cannot win.

#### Proof.

For the other direction, let Staller choose  $u_2$  for the first step. Then we see that the remaining game is harder for Dominator to win, in comparison with playing on the graph  $\rho_n$ . By the above proposition, we know that Dominator needs *n* steps to win on  $\rho_n$ . Hence  $\gamma'_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_n) \ge n$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



#### Theorem

 $\gamma_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_n) = n-2, \ n \geq 13.$ 

For one direction, we need the following theorem.

#### Theorem

 $\gamma_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_{13}) = 11.$ 

# $\gamma_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_n)$

#### Theorem

$$\gamma_{MB}(P_2\Box P_n)=n-2, n\geq 13.$$

- Consider the graph as the two subgraphs A := P<sub>2</sub>□P<sub>13</sub> and B ≅ P<sub>2</sub>□P<sub>n-13</sub> connected by edges {u<sub>13</sub>, u<sub>14</sub>}, {v<sub>13</sub>, v<sub>14</sub>}, up to isomorphism.
- Let Dominator respond on A whenever Staller claims a vertex of A, and let Dominator respond on B with the pairing strategy whenver Staller claims a vertex of B.
- In this way, we see that he needs within 11 + (n 13) = n 2steps in total, in order to win. Therefore,  $\gamma_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_{13}) \leq n - 2$ ,  $n \geq 13$ .
- For the other direction, we need another theorem first.

 $\gamma_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_n)$ 

#### Theorem

 $\gamma_{MB}(X_m) \geq m-2$  for  $m \geq 1$ .

By  $X_m$  ( $m \ge 1$ ) denote the status of graph  $P_2 \Box P_m$  during the MBD game. This is when  $u_1$  is already dominated by Dominator.



Figure: This is an illustration of the graph  $X_m$ .

◆□ ▶ ◆圖 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ○臣 ● ����

 $\gamma_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_n)$ 

#### Theorem

$$\gamma_{MB}(P_2\Box P_n)=n-2, n\geq 13.$$

#### Proof.

For the lower bound,  $\gamma_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_n) \ge \gamma_{MB}(X_n)$  since  $P_2 \Box P_n$  has one more un-dominated vertex than the graph  $X_n$ . Hence  $\gamma_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_n) \ge \gamma_{MB}(X_n) \ge n-2$ . To conclude,  $\gamma_{MB}(P_2 \Box P_n) = n-2$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

## reference

## J. Forcan and J. Qi.

How fast can Dominator win in the Maker–Breaker domination game? arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.13126 (2020).

title

# Thank You